Adjuncts to std::hash

Document #: WG21 P0549R4 Date: 2018-10-07

Project: JTC1.22.32 Programming Language C++

Audience: LEWG \Rightarrow LWG

Reply to: Walter E. Brown < webrown.cpp@gmail.com>

Contents

1	Introduction	1	3 Proposed wording	. 3
2	Proposals		4 Alternatives	5
	2.1 is_enabled_hash	2	5 Acknowledgments	5
	2.2 hash_for and is_hashable	2	6 Bibliography	5
	2.3 hash_value	3	7 Document history	6
	2.4 is nothrow hashable	3		

Abstract

Inspired by Lippincott's paper [P0513R0] and subsequent correspondence with her, this paper proposes, for the standard library, a few templates of general use in connection with std::hash.

HASH, x. There is no definition for this word—nobody knows what hash is.

— Ambrose Bierce

He took the Who's feast, he took the Who pudding, he took the roast beast. He cleaned out that ice box as quick as a flash. Why, the Grinch even took their last can of Who hash.

— DR. SEUSS (né Theodor Seuss Geisel)

1 Introduction

Lippincott's paper [P0513R0], adopted for C++17 in Issaquah, introduced new vocabulary to describe specializations of **std::hash**. Each is now "either *disabled* ('poisoned') or *enabled* ('untainted')."

The paper also suggested "a standard trait <code>hash_enabled<T></code>." No such trait was formally proposed, however, because WG21 was at the time focussed on ballot resolution and other C++17 preparations.

To remedy that lack, this paper proposes that trait (under a slightly different name, however). It also proposes a few other adjuncts that seem generally useful to **std::hash** users.

Copyright \odot 2017, 2018 by Walter E. Brown. All rights reserved.

¹Addressing the following issues and National Body comments: LWG 2543, FI 15, GB 69, and LWG 2791.

 $^{^2}$ While it is possible to code a **hash** specialization that is neither enabled nor disabled, such a specialization does not meet the **std::hash** requirements. See §4 for details.

2 Proposals

2.1 is_enabled_hash³

The requirements for an enabled **std::hash** specialization are specified in [unord.hash]/4. We propose a corresponding new trait, **is_enabled_hash**, to decide at compile time whether a given specialization meets those specifications.

The following expository implementation illustrates the trait's proposed semantics:

```
template< typename H >
   struct is_enabled_hash : false_type { };
   template< typename T >
4
5
     requires is_default_constructible_v<hash<T>>
          and is_copy_constructible_v
                                        <hash<T>>
6
          and is_move_constructible_v
                                         <hash<T>>
          and is_copy_assignable_v
                                         <hash<T>>
8
          and is_move_assignable_v
                                         <hash<T>>
          and is destructible v
                                         <hash<T>>
10
                                         <hash<T>>
          and is_swappable_v
          and is_callable_v
                                         <hash<T>(T)>
12
          and is_same_v<size_t, decltype(hash<T>(declval<T
                                                                  >()))>
          and is_same_v<size_t, decltype(hash<T>(declval<T
                                                                  &>()))>
14
          and is same v<size t, decltype(hash<T>(declval<T const&>()))>
15
16
  struct
17
     is_enabled_hash< hash<T> > : true_type { };
   template< typename H >
19
   constexpr bool is_enabled_hash_v = is_enabled_hash<H>::value;
```

As part of this proposal, user specialization of this template is not permitted, just as is the case for nearly all type traits.

2.2 hash for and is hashable

Upon reviewing and approving a draft of the above-proposed trait, Lippincott commented:⁴

Also, the question I imagine most people will want answered is "Can I hash T?" rather than "Is H an enabled hasher?" I'd like to add is_hashable as a shortcut...

The following expository implementation, a slight expansion of Lippincott's code, illustrates the intended semantics of this proposed "shortcut":

```
template< class T >
using hash_for = hash< remove_cvref_t<T> >;

template< class T >
using is_hashable = is_enabled_hash< hash_for<T> >;

template< class T >
constexpr bool is_hashable_v = is_hashable<T>::value;
```

³See §4 for alternative designs.

 $^{^4}$ Lisa Lippincott: "Re: Follow-up to P0513R0." Personal correspondence, 2016–12–09.

2.3 hash value

Finally, Lippincott suggested:⁵

And if it's not there already, we could use a function for calculating hashes. Making every user instantiate, construct, and call the right specialization is for the birds.

The following expository implementation is adapted from Lippincott's code; user specialization of this template, too, is not permitted. By design, attempted instantiation of this template for a type without an enabled hash yields an ill-formed program:

```
template < class T >
    requires is_hashable_v<T>
size_t
hash_value( T&& t )
noexcept( noexcept (hash_for<T>{}(std::forward<T>(t)))) )
{
    return hash_for<T>{}( std::forward<T>(t) );
}
```

Note that this proposed template shares its name with a seemingly-similar Boost facility. However, the corresponding Boost documentation states⁶, in pertinent part:

- "Generally shouldn't be called directly by users "
- "This hash function is not intended for general use, and isn't guaranteed to be equal during separate runs of a program"

The version proposed herein has no such design restrictions.

2.4 is_nothrow_hashable

Recent adoption of [P0599R1] has emphasized the noexcept nature of most of the library-provided hash specializations. Because this status may be of special interest in the case of operator(), we propose a corresponding is_nothrow_hashable trait:

```
template< class T >
constexpr bool is_nothrow_hashable_v = is_hashable_v<T>
and noexcept(hash_value(declval<T>()));

template< class T >
using is_nothrow_hashable = bool_constant< is_nothrow_hashable_v >;
```

3 Proposed wording⁷

3.1 Insert into the synopsis in [functional.syn] as shown.

⁵Ibid.

⁶ See http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_63_0/doc/html/hash/reference.html#boost.hash_value_idp743313104.

⁷All proposed <u>additions</u> (there are no <u>deletions</u>) are relative to Working Draft [N4762]. Editorial notes are displayed against a gray background.

```
namespace std {
  // 19.14.16, hash function primary template and adjuncts
  template<class T> struct hash;
  template<class H> struct is_enabled_hash;
  template<class H>
    constexpr bool is enabled hash v = is enabled hash<H>::value;
  template<class T> using hash for = hash< remove cvref t<T> >;
  template<class T> using is_hashable = is_enabled_hash< hash_for<T> >;
  template<class T>
    constexpr bool is_hashable_v = is_hashable<T>::value;
  template<class T> size_t hash_value(T&& t) noexcept(see below);
  template<class T>
    constexpr bool is_nothrow_hashable_v = is_hashable_v<T>
    and noexcept (hash_value (declval<T>()));
  template<class T>
    using is_nothrow_hashable = bool_constant<is_nothrow_hashable_v>;
}
```

- **3.2** Retitle [unord.hash] as shown. (Note that there is a pre-existing discrepancy between this title and the corresponding entry in the synopsis (see above); we recommend that the Project Editor determine whether and how this mismatch should be resolved.)
- 19.14.16 Class template hash and adjuncts

[unord.hash]

- **3.3** As shown, reword the last sentence of paragraph 2 to take advantage of recently-improved terminology. (This is a drive-by fix.)
- 2 ... For any type **Key** for which <u>there is</u> neither <u>the library nor the user provides an explicit</u> or partial <u>a library-provided nor a program-provided</u> specialization of the class template **hash**, hash<**Key>** is disabled.
- **3.4** Append the following new text to the retitled [unord.hash].

```
template<class H> struct is_enabled_hash;
```

6 Remarks: Each specialization of this template shall meet the UnaryTypeTrait requirements ([meta.rqmts]) with a BaseCharacteristic of $true_type$ if H is an enabled specialization of hash ([unord.hash]) and a BaseCharacteristic of $false_type$ otherwise. [Note: The latter does not necessarily imply that H is a disabled specialization of hash.-end note] The behavior of a program that adds specializations for this template is undefined.

```
template<class T> size_t hash_value(T&& t) noexcept(see below);
7 Constraints: is_hashable_v<T> shall be true.
8 Effects: Equivalent to: return hash_for<T>{}(std::forward<T>(t));
9 Remarks: The expression inside noexcept is equivalent to: noexcept(hash_for<T>{}(std::forward<T>(t))).
```

3.5 For the purposes of SG10, we recommend the feature test macro <u>__cpp_lib_hash_adjuncts</u>.

4 Alternatives

As we cited in §1, it is convenient to think of **std::hash** specializations as "either *disabled* ('poisoned') or *enabled* ('untainted')." However, it is technically possible to code a specialization that meets neither definition. Of course, a program with such a specialization runs afoul of [namespace.std]:

 $1\ldots$ A program may add a template specialization for any standard library template to namespace **std** only if ... the specialization meets the standard library requirements for the original template

To what lengths, if any, should the standard library go to diagnose such undefined behavior?

- 1. In particular, should we respecify the proposed is_enabled_hash trait as follows?
 - Have a BaseCharacteristic of **true_type** if template parameter **H** is an enabled specialization of **hash**;
 - have a BaseCharacteristic of false_type if H is a disabled specialization of hash; and
 - be ill-formed⁸, otherwise.
- 2. Alternatively, instead of altering the **is_enabled_hash** specification, should we provide, in addition, an **is_disabled_hash** trait, specified as follows?
 - Have a BaseCharacteristic of true_type if template parameter H is a disabled specialization of hash;
 - have a BaseCharacteristic of false_type, otherwise.

5 Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Lisa Lippincott, who inspired essentially all of this proposed functionality. Thanks also to Andrey Semashev and the other readers of this paper's pre-publication drafts for their thoughtful comments.

6 Bibliography

- [N4659] Richard Smith: "Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++." ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG21 document N4659 (post-Kona mailing), 2017–03–21. http://wg21.link/n4659.
- [N4687] Richard Smith: "Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++." ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG21 document N4687 (post-Toronto mailing), 2017–07–30. http://wg21.link/n4687.
- [N4713] Richard Smith: "Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++." ISO/IEC JTC1/ SC22/WG21 document N4713 (post-Albuquerque mailing), 2017–11–27. http://wg21.link/ n4713.
- [N4762] Richard Smith: "Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++." ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG21 document N4762 (corrected post-Rappersville mailing), 2018–07–07. http://wg21.link/n4762.
- [P0513R0] Lisa Lippincott: "Poisoning the Hash." ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG21 document P0513R0 (post-Issaquah mailing), 2016–11–10. http://wg21.link/p0513r0.
- [P0599R1] Nicolai Josuttis: "noexcept for Hash Functions." ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG21 document P0599R1 (post-Kona mailing), 2017–03–02. http://wg21.link/p0599R1.

⁸This can be implemented via a judiciously-placed static_assert, for example.

7 Document history

Version	Date	Changes
0	2017-02-01	• Published as P0549R0, pre-Kona.
1	2017-06-11	• Added is_nothrow_hashable (§2.4, etc.). • Updated relative to the post-Kona Working Draft [N4659]. • Made minor editorial improvements. • Published as P0549R1, pre-Toronto.
2	2017-10-10	• Updated relative to the post-Toronto Working Draft [N4687]. • Revised citations to use wg21.link. • Made minor technical and editorial improvements. • Published as P0549R2, pre-Albuquerque.
3	2018-02-03	• Updated relative to the post-Albuquerque Working Draft [N4713]. • Added feature-test macro recommendation. • Published as P0549R3, pre-Jacksonville.
4	2018-10-07	• Rebased on [N4762], taking advantage of recent new library specification elements and new blanket prohibition on specializing library function templates. • Published as P0549R4, pre-San Diego.